

# Miller, Laurie

## Q4 - Public Comment

Melissa Martin

**From:** Laurie Miller [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Monday, April 20, 2026 12:45 PM  
**To:** City Clerk  
**Subject:** Revisions to Sign Ordinance

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**EXTERNAL EMAIL**

Dear City Council Members,

I'm reaching out with some concerns about the proposed sign ordinance changes.

The limit of two temporary signs per property is tough in practice. In most cases, one of those is already taken up by the "For Sale" sign, which leaves just one additional sign. That's simply not enough to direct people to an open house, especially in neighborhoods where it takes a few turns to get there. I'm also unclear how the off-premises restriction would apply to open house signs. These are meant to be placed off-site to guide people to the property, so if they're not allowed, it pretty much eliminates open house directional signage. With signs not allowed in the public right-of-way, it becomes even harder to place directional signs where they're actually visible and useful.

The 30-day limit is another concern. The list to sold of most homes is longer than that, so a standard "For Sale" sign would end up out of compliance or needing to be taken down before the property has been sold.

Open house and listing signs are temporary, low-impact, and an important way buyers find homes. As it's written, this ordinance would make that a lot more difficult.

I'd really appreciate a closer look at how these proposed changes could affect the marketability of homes in our beautiful city.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best Regards,  
Laurie Miller



*Laurie Miller*

[REDACTED]  
Lic. #00869285

**A LSI**

*Properties*